

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/726,528 | 12/04/2003 | David Rittenhouse | 10003904-1 | 5935 | |
| ; | 7590 10/26/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. | | | DUNWOODY, AARON M | | |
| Legal Department, DL429 | | | | | |
| Intellectual Property Administration | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P.O. Box 7599 | | | 3679 | | |
| Loveland, CO | 80537-0599 | | DATE MAILED: 10/26/200 | DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/726,528 | RITTENHOUSE, DAVID | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Aaron M Dunwoody | 3679 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 De | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex- | animer. Note the attached Office | Action of form P10-192. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| | , | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

No priority claimed.

Information Disclosure Statement

No Information Disclosure Statement submitted.

Oath/Declaration

Applicant is now required to submit a substitute declaration or oath to correct the deficiency set forth, namely the declaration of a Continuation of copending application Serial No. 09/791,332. The substitute oath or declaration must be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTO-37). Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136. Failure to timely file the substitute declaration (or oath) will result in ABANDONMENT of the application. The transmittal letter accompanying the declaration (or oath) should indicate the date of the "Notice of Allowance" (PTOL-85) and the application number in the upper right hand corner.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it refers to a method which is not the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Capillary Column Connector Assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by patent application publication US 2002/0117855 A1, Rittenhouse.

In regards to claim 1, in Figure 3, Rittenhouse discloses a connector assembly comprising:

a connector having an internal conical shaped bore dimensioned so that a capillary column and auxiliary tubing can be press-fit into the bore; and

an auxiliary length of cylindrical tubing having an inner diameter dimensioned so that a close fit is created when a capillary column is inserted through the auxiliary tubing and having an outer diameter dimensioned so that a fluid seal is created when the auxiliary tubing is press-fit into the bore.

In regards to claim 2, in Figure 3, Rittenhouse discloses the connector and the auxiliary length of tubing being dimensioned to accommodate a megabore column.

In regards to claim 3, in Figure 3, Rittenhouse discloses the connector and the auxiliary length of tubing being sized to accommodate a microbore column.

In regards to claim 4, in Figure 3, Rittenhouse discloses the connector being a press-fit union design or a three-way press-fit union design.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,528

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US patent 5601785, Higdon.

In regards to claim 1, Higdon discloses a connector assembly comprising:

a connector (104)having an internal conical shaped bore dimensioned so that a capillary column and auxiliary tubing can be press-fit into the bore; and

an auxiliary length of cylindrical tubing (119) having an inner diameter dimensioned so that a close fit is created when a capillary column is inserted through the auxiliary tubing and having an outer diameter dimensioned so that a fluid seal is created when the auxiliary tubing is press-fit into the bore.

In regards to claim 2, Higdon discloses the connector and the auxiliary length of tubing being dimensioned to accommodate a megabore column.

In regards to claim 3, Higdon discloses the connector and the auxiliary length of tubing being sized to accommodate a microbore column.

In regards to claim 4, Higdon discloses the connector being a press-fit union design or a three-way press-fit union design.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure because it illustrates the inventive concept of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M Dunwoody whose telephone number is 703-306-3436. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P Stodola can be reached on 703-306-5771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aaron M Dunwoody

Examiner Art Unit 3679

.amd